Sponsored Project Outgoing Agreement Guide

Is the provider a Subrecipient or a Contractor?

**Subrecipient**
A binding legal agreement between UT Austin and a non-UTA entity where:

- A defined portion of the work statement’s intellectually significant activity is assigned to another entity (“the subrecipient”) to fulfill.
- The subrecipient takes full responsibility for administrative and programmatic decisions, including intellectual leadership, for the portion of the overall work statement that they will undertake.
- The award terms and conditions UTA has accepted from our funding agency must be “flowed down” to the subrecipient, who must also agree to comply with them.

A subaward or subrecipient agreement may be appropriate if you can answer yes to any of the following:

- Does the entity’s statement of work represent an intellectually significant portion of the overall project?
- Does the entity have responsibility for overall programmatic decision-making?
- Is there an identified principal investigator for the entity? Is he or she a co-investigator on the primary proposal?
- Could the entity’s work result in intellectual property developed?
- Are publications anticipated from the entity? Will individuals at the entity be co-authors with UT Austin?
- Should the entity be subject to compliance protocols (IRB, IACUC, Financial Conflict of Interest, etc.) for its portion of the work?

**Contractor**
A contractor (or consultant, vendor, or service provider) provides ancillary goods or services that are needed to conduct the research effort.

Examples include (but not limited to):

- Expert advice or consulting
- Non-University labor or services paid a “fee for service”
- Commercially available supplies and expendable materials
- Equipment or component parts for fabricated equipment or equipment which will be delivered to and used by the sponsor or other entity designated by the sponsor

A contractor agreement (or other procurement action) may be appropriate if you can answer yes to any of the following:

- Does the entity provide the goods or services within its normal business operations?
- Does the entity provide similar goods and services to many different purchasers?
- Is the entity providing goods or services which are ancillary to the overall objective of the prime award?
- Is the entity performing a series of repetitive tests or activities requiring little to no discretionary judgement?
- Does the entity operate in a competitive environment?
- Will the entity NOT be subject to the compliance requirements of the prime award?

For additional assistance, please see the Checklist to Determine Subrecipient or Contractor Classification
Checklist to Determine Subrecipient or Contractor Classification

OBJECTIVE: Generally, the determination of the relationship with an entity is verified through the institutional review of the proposal narrative, budget justification, and other related proposal documents, as well as through discussions with key personnel prior to proposal submission. When the relationship remains unclear, this form may provide assistance in making an accurate determination.

DEFINITIONS FROM UNIFORM GUIDANCE (2 CFR, PART 200):

Subrecipient:
$200.93 Subrecipient means a non-Federal entity that receives a subaward from a pass-through entity to carry out part of a Federal program; but does not include an individual that is a beneficiary of such program. A subrecipient may also be a recipient of other Federal awards directly from a Federal awarding

Contractor:
$200.23 Contractor means an entity that receives a contract as defined in $200.22 Contract.
$200.22 Contract means a legal instrument by which a non-Federal entity purchases property or services needed to carry out the project or program under a Federal award.

INSTRUCTIONS: Complete sections one and two of the checklist by marking all characteristics that apply to the outside entity. The section with the greatest number of marked characteristics indicates the likely type of relationship the entity will have with the University. On occasion there may be exceptions to the type of relationship indicated by the completed checklist. In these situations, the substance of the relationship should be given greater consideration than the form of agreement between the University and the outside entity. Section 3 should be used to provide documentation on the use of judgment in determining the proper relationship classification.

NAME OF OUTSIDE ENTITY: ____________________________________________

SECTION 1 - SUBRECIPIENT

Description: A subaward is for the purpose of carrying out a portion of a Federal award and creates a Federal assistance relationship with the subrecipient.

Characteristics which support the classification of the non-Federal entity as a subrecipient include when the non-Federal entity:

☐ 1. Determines who is eligible to receive what Federal assistance;
☐ 2. Has its performance measured in relation to whether objectives of a Federal program were met;
☐ 3. Has responsibility for programmatic decision making;
☐ 4. In accordance with its agreement, uses the Federal funds to carry out a program for a public purpose specified in authorizing statute, as opposed to providing goods or services for the benefit of the pass-through entity.

Entities that include these characteristics are responsible for adherence to applicable Federal program requirements specified in the Federal award.

SECTION 2 - CONTRACTOR

Description: A contract is for the purpose of obtaining goods and services for the non-Federal entity’s own use and creates a procurement relationship with the contractor. Characteristics indicative of a procurement relationship between the non-Federal entity and a contractor are when the non-Federal entity receiving the Federal funds:

☐ 1. Provides the goods and services within normal business operations;
☐ 2. Provides similar goods or services to many different purchasers;
☐ 3. Normally operates in a competitive environment;
☐ 4. Provides goods or services that are ancillary to the operation of the Federal program.

Entities that include these characteristics are not subject to compliance requirements of the Federal program as a result of the agreement, though similar requirements may apply for other reasons.

FINAL DETERMINATION: ☐ SUBRECIPIENT ☐ CONTRACTOR

OPTIONAL - SECTION 3 - USE OF JUDGMENT (use only when the determination cannot clearly be made using the above criteria)

Description: In determining whether an agreement between a pass-through entity and another non-Federal entity casts the latter as a subrecipient or a contractor, the substance of the relationship is more important than the form of the agreement. All of the characteristics listed above may not be present in all cases, and the pass-through entity must use judgment in classifying each agreement as a subaward or a procurement contract.

Explanation of Use of Judgment Determination:

Prepared By: ___________________________ Date: ___________________________